

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/509,813 09/30/2004 Kurt Bassista 5255-30PUS 8288 27799 11/09/2006 **EXAMINER** 7590 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE FETSUGA, ROBERT M 551 FIFTH AVENUE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1210** NEW YORK, NY 10176 3751

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|---|--|---|
| Office Action Summary   | 10/509,813   | BASSISTA, KURT  |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|   | Robert M. Fetsuga  | 3751  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | pears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address  |
| Period for Reply  |  |   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  | •  |   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se  | entember 2004  |   |
|   | action is non-final.   |   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |   |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |
| 4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |   |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  |   |
| Application Papers  |  |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.  |   |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 September 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |   |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | caminer. Note the attached Office  | e Action or form PTO-152.   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a   | a)-(d) or (f).  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |   |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |   |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio   | •  | ed in this National Stage   |
| application from the International Bureau   | •  |   |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |   |
| •••   |  |   |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   |   |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  | Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal  |   |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/30/04</u> .   | 6) Other:  |   |

Art Unit: 3751

1. The drawings are objected to because cross-hatching is missing from Figs. 3 and 3a, and reference numeral "23" denotes different structure between Figs. 5 and 7. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,813

Art Unit: 3751

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Paragraph 0023, line 1 and paragraph 0028, line 2, "25" denotes different structure.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Proper antecedent basis for the "lighting" set forth in claim 11 could not be found in the specification. Applicant is reminded claim terminology in mechanical cases should appear in the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawing(s).
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Emde '234 (Emde '191).

The Emde '234 reference discloses a screen comprising: a panel 100 including an edge 108; a seal 110 including a cavity 112; lighting 102; a sealing compound (par. 0011); and cables

Application/Control Number: 10/509,813

Art Unit: 3751

20, as claimed. The initial statement of intended use (shower), and all other functional implications related thereto, have been considered but do not appear to impose any patentably distinguishing structure over that disclosed by Emde '234. The Emde '191 reference is cited merely to indicate an earlier publication date for the subject matter disclosed in Emde '234.

- 6. The foreign language references cited in the Information Disclosure Statement filed September 30, 2004 were lined-out as lacking description.
- 7. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office action.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday. The Office central fax number is 571/273-8300.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 3751